Nonlinear motion and mechanical mixing in as-grown GaAs nanowires
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We report nonlinear behavior in the motion of driven nanowire cantilevers. The nonlinearity can be described by the Duffing equation and is used to demonstrate mechanical mixing of two distinct excitation frequencies. Furthermore, we demonstrate that the nonlinearity can be used to amplify a signal at a frequency close to the mechanical resonance of the nanowire oscillator. Up to 26 dB of amplitude gain is demonstrated in this way. © 2014 AIP Publishing LLC.

Due to their favorable geometry and potentially defect-free growth, nanowire cantilevers are promising as ultrasensitive force transducers for scanning probe microscopy.1–4 Additionally, their relatively high mechanical resonance frequencies decouple their motion to a large degree from external noise sources and should permit improved sensitivity in mass-sensing and scanning probe applications. Furthermore, the wide choice of nanowire growth material and the possibility to grow nanowire heterostructures could allow access to different measurement modalities, such as sensing of local electric or magnetic fields. In recent experiments,5,6 coupling of optical transitions of a self-assembled quantum dot embedded in a nanowire to the motion of the nanowire through strain was demonstrated, opening the way to investigation of hybrid devices with nanowires as their main building blocks. Nanowire heterostructures are attractive as hybrid systems, as they can combine multiple functionalities in one integrated structure.

Conventionally, in scanning probe experiments, oscillatory motion of the cantilever is driven with amplitudes small enough to remain in the linear dynamical regime. Due to a number of reasons—such as the oscillator geometry, nonlinear damping,9,10 the presence of external potentials, and nonlinear boundary conditions,11,12—this linear dynamic range is often quite limited in nanoscale oscillators.13–15 The nonlinear dynamics occurring when this range is exceeded complicate the analysis of sensing experiments and are therefore generally avoided or compensated for.16 However, nonlinearities in general can also give rise to a host of useful effects, such as signal amplification,17,18 noise squeezing,19 and frequency mixing.20 The nonlinear dynamics of nanowire cantilevers can enable these effects at the nanoscale in mechanical form and have the potential to enhance the performance of cantilever-based sensors.

In this letter, we study the motion of several GaAs nanowires attached to their GaAs growth substrate (Fig. 1(a)). We observe that upon driving the periodic bending motion of a nanowire with sufficiently large amplitudes, it can no longer be described by a linear equation of motion. Instead, the nanowire follows the, qualitatively different, nonlinear dynamics of a Duffing oscillator.21 A Duffing nonlinearity can give rise to complex motion of an oscillator, such as hysteresis, cascades of period-doubling, and chaotic motion.22 In the quantum regime, Duffing nonlinearities have recently been studied in the context of mechanical squeezing.23 Furthermore, we find that when applying two driving frequencies, the nanowire motion in the nonlinear regime contains components at frequencies other than the two driving frequencies, as a result of mechanical mixing.

The nanowires under investigation here were grown perpendicular to their GaAs growth substrate and are still attached to this substrate (see Fig. 1(a)). Using the nanowires as they are grown minimizes the introduction of defects and maintains good clamping of the nanowires to the substrate. Both these factors should decrease damping of the mechanical resonance of the nanowires. The nanowires were grown on a 4 nm SiOx coated (111)B GaAs substrate by the catalyst-free gallium-assisted method24 in a DCA P600 solid source molecular beam epitaxy system. Growth has been done under a rotation of 7 rpm, with a growth rate of 0.5 A˚/s and a substrate temperature of 630°C. These GaAs nanowires are of particular interest, since the same structures are straightforwardly coated by subsequent growth steps with a AlGaAs/GaAs shell hosting self-assembled optical quantum dots.25

The nanowires mostly exhibit zinc-blende crystal structure and therefore have hexagonal cross-sections, with typical diameters of 100 nm and lengths up to 25 μm. The diameter of individual nanowires is constant, except for the tip where a gallium droplet is formed during growth and the base, where small anomalous structures can be present. The nanowires have their fundamental mechanical resonances at frequencies of $f_0 = 1.25 – 1.35$ MHz (some nanowires show two closely spaced resonances, which we attribute to two transverse flexural modes that are non-degenerate due to a slight asymmetry of the nanowire cross-section). Numerical calculations following the same method as used in Ref. 6 confirm that these resonances indeed correspond to the fundamental flexural modes of the singly clamped nanowires.

Furthermore, these resonances exhibit quality factors of up to 37 000 (at a temperature of 4.2 K and pressure below $10^{-6}$ mbar), as determined from the width of the resonance as well as from the ringdown measurements (ringdown...
measurements sometimes show beating patterns, which we again ascribe to the presence of two transverse modes. Many factors contribute to limiting the quality factor of nanomechanical resonators, including lattice defects, which would at this scale likely be dominated by surface defects, surface oxides, clamping losses, and coupling to other mechanical modes. Mechanical damping rates in grown nanowires have been demonstrated to be much lower than for the best cantilevers produced in a top-down manner. The quality factors observed for the as-grown nanowires studied here are up to an order of magnitude larger than for similar GaAs-based nanowires, which is a possible indication of the degrading effect of post-processing on the mechanical quality factor.

A schematic overview of the measurement setup is shown in Figure 1(b). The displacement of a nanowire is measured via a fiber-based interferometric method. In this setup, the nanowire forms one reflecting interface of a low-finesse Fabry-Pérot interferometer, while the cleaved surface of a single-mode fiber forms the other interface. The sample is mounted on a stack of positioning stages for three-axis translation control, allowing the nanowire of choice to be positioned in the focal plane of an objective placed in front of the single-mode fiber. A voltage-controlled piezoelectric transducer (PZT) attached to the sample holder is used to drive oscillatory bending motion of the nanowires along the optical axis of the interferometer. A fiber coupler is used to inject light from a laser with a wavelength of 1550 nm into the interferometer. This wavelength is chosen in order to inject light from a laser with a wavelength of 1550 nm into the optical axis of the interferometer. The coupler diverts 5% of the laser power towards the nanowire, resulting in a maximum power incident on the nanowire of ~5 μW. Varying the laser power slightly did not qualitatively change the measurements, ensuring that no significant heating of the nanowire is taking place. The light reflected by the interferometer is collected by a photodiode with a dynamic range of 5 MHz. The oscillator of a lock-in amplifier actuates the PZT and the same lock-in amplifier demodulates the response of the photodiode. Sample and microscope are placed inside a vacuum can, which in turn is mounted inside a liquid helium bath cryostat.

Figure 2(a) shows the measured displacement of a nanowire for various driving amplitudes. As the driving amplitude is increased, the resonance becomes broader and assumes a characteristic shark-fin shape when entering the nonlinear regime, where the frequency associated with maximum displacement increases and moves away from the resonator eigenfrequency \( f_0 \). Such behavior is typical for a Duffing oscillator and can be described by the Duffing equation of motion (including nonlinear damping)

\[
x(t) + \mu \ddot{x}(t) + \eta \dot{x}(t)x^2(t) + f_0^2 x(t) + 2x^3(t) = F(t).
\]  (1)

Here, \( x \) is the displacement, \( \mu \) and \( \eta \) are the linear and nonlinear damping constants, and \( F(t) \) is the time-dependent driving force, here taken to be sinusoidal. The coefficient \( x \) parametrizes the strength of the cubic Duffing nonlinearity. When \( x \) is positive, as it is in our case, the Duffing nonlinearity increases the effective spring constant with increasing driving amplitude, thus stiffening the motion. The observed lineshape at higher driving amplitudes is a consequence of Eq. (1) having two stable solutions within a certain frequency range. This bistability leads to the switching
nonlinear damping is absent, which corresponds to sufficient driving strength, turns from being monostable to a nanowire into a mechanical mixer. Upon excitation the results shown in Fig. 3 were obtained by measuring on a second nanowire. Measurements on both nanowires were inferred a value for the displacement of order 10^{-23} m s^{-2} for this nanowire.

Nonlinear damping could arise in the presence of a Duffing nonlinearity and linear damping. We briefly discuss this and show that in our case nonlinear damping does not play a significant role. The nonlinear damping term has the effect of decreasing the shift of the frequency of maximum response amplitude due to the Duffing nonlinearity, as well as decreasing the size of the hysteresis loop. The coefficient for nonlinear damping \( \eta \) can be estimated from the critical frequency, which is the frequency at which the system, with sufficient driving strength, turns from being monostable to being bistable. This critical frequency has a minimum when nonlinear damping is absent, which corresponds to \( \sqrt{3} f_0 / 2Q \). This yields \( \sim 52 \text{ Hz} \) in our case, agreeing very well to the value of 49 Hz determined from the measurements, indicating that here \( \eta \) is negligible.

Next, we show that the nonlinearity can be used to turn a nanowire into a mechanical mixer. Upon excitation with two driving frequencies, \( F(t) = F_1 \cos(f_1 t + \phi_1) + F_2 \cos(f_2 t + \phi_2) \), the response shows sidebands additional to the motion at the driving frequencies, as shown in Figure 3(a). We observe up to twelve such sidebands, spaced around the driving frequencies with splittings equal to the detuning between the two driving frequencies, \( \Delta f = f_2 - f_1 \). Note that the results shown in Fig. 3 were obtained by measuring on a second nanowire. Measurements on both nanowires were very similar, but the signal to noise ratio is varied.

This response can be understood from Eq. (1) by taking the cubic term to be a perturbation to the driving force and solving the equation iteratively. One then obtains new terms in the response at the intermodulation frequencies \( f_1 - n \Delta f \) and \( f_2 + n \Delta f \) (where \( n \) is an integer) for each iteration. The amplitudes of these new intermodulation terms have coefficients proportional to \( \sum_n (f_1^0 - f_1^n)^{-k} (f_2^n - f_2^0)^{-l} \), with \( k \) and \( l \) positive integers and \( k + l = n \). Hence, intermodulation terms are smaller for driving frequencies that are more detuned from resonance. Since the mixing occurs due to the cubic term in Eq. (1), for the intermodulation terms to be present, at least one of the driving amplitudes needs to be large enough to have an appreciable nonlinear response.

It is evident from Fig. 3 that the energy that is injected into the nanowire oscillator by the driving is distributed among the various intermodulation terms. This redistribution also occurs when one drive (signal) is much smaller than the other (pump), in which case amplification of the signal can take place. The signal here is formed by a driving voltage supplied to the PZT, but it could be any force driving the nanowire with a frequency close to the resonance. Fig. 3(b) shows the spectral response of the nanowire motion with the signal drive always on, but with the pump excitation off in one case and on in the other. It is clear that amplification of the signal takes place when the pump excitation is switched on in the form of an increase in amplitude of the response at the signal frequency. Additionally, the appearance of the intermodulation terms, which is conditional on the presence of a signal, provides extra amplification. The total gain can be defined to be the ratio between the summed response amplitudes of all peaks present with pump drive, excluding the peak at the pump frequency itself, and the response amplitude with no pump drive. We observe a maximum gain of 26 dB. Although higher gain might be expected in the limit of vanishing detuning between pump and signal frequencies, detection of the signal then becomes impractical. The observation of mechanical frequency mixing is furthermore limited by the onset of nonlinearity of the detection interferometer for high displacement amplitudes. If the interferometer response becomes significantly nonlinear, multiple frequencies in the mechanical response can also lead to new frequency components in the detected signal. However, we already observe intermodulation frequency components for small excitation amplitudes, large enough to reach the

FIG. 3. (a) Spectral response amplitudes of the nanowire motion upon application of two driving frequencies, for various values of the detuning of the signal frequency from the pump frequency. The curves have been offset for clarity. The spectral response is given as a function of the detuning from the pump frequency, which is 1287 890 Hz. The pump and signal amplitudes are 250 mV and 50 mV, respectively. The first mechanical mode of this nanowire has a resonant frequency of this nanowire at 1287 780 Hz. (b) Spectral response with pump excitation (1287 913 Hz, 250 mV) off (lower curve) and on (upper curve) for a signal detuned from the pump frequency by 7 Hz and with amplitude 35 mV. The curves have been offset for clarity.
mechanical nonlinear regime, but small enough to generate mechanical displacements of up to only 10 nm. For these displacements, the second- and third-order terms in the optical response are smaller than 2% and 0.02% of the first-order term, respectively. The amplitude of any optically generated sidebands is therefore very small, indicating the mechanical origin of the observed sidebands.

In summary, we have observed and characterized nonlinear motion of as-grown GaAs nanowires. The nonlinearity is already observable for modest driving amplitudes. Furthermore, we have demonstrated that this nonlinearity allows for mechanical mixing of two excitations and amplification of a signal excitation through this mixing. This amplification could be utilized in several scanning probe techniques. For example, in the case where these nanowires act as mechanical transducers, the observed gain of 26 dB could make force sensitivities of $10^{-10}$ N/Hz in a narrow bandwidth feasible. These results indicate that although nonlinear motion can be non-negligible for nanowires, the nonlinearity can also be turned into an advantage using simple measurement schemes. The nonlinearity could, in addition, lead to coupling of different flexural modes. Such nonlinear mode coupling could have several applications, including tuning the resonance frequency and quality factor of one mode through driving of the other mode, and implementing quantum non-demolition measurements of mechanical excitation.
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