

Quantum Dot Opto-Mechanics in a Fully Self-Assembled Nanowire

Michele Montinaro,[†] Gunter Wüst,[†] Mathieu Munsch,[†] Yannik Fontana,[‡] Eleonora Russo-Averchi,[‡] Martin Heiss,[‡] Anna Fontcuberta i Morral,[‡] Richard J. Warburton,[†] and Martino Poggio^{*,†}

[†]Department of Physics, University of Basel, Klingelbergstrasse 82, 4056 Basel, Switzerland

[‡]Laboratoire des Matériaux Semiconducteurs, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

(5) Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: We show that optically active quantum dots (QDs) embedded in MBE-grown GaAs/AlGaAs core—shell nanowires (NWs) are coupled to the NW mechanical motion. Oscillations of the NW modulate the QD emission energy in a broad range exceeding 14 meV. Furthermore, this opto-mechanical interaction enables the dynamical tuning of two neighboring QDs into resonance, possibly allowing for emitter—emitter coupling. Both the QDs and the coupling mechanism, i.e. material strain, are intrinsic to the NW structure and do not depend on any functionalization or external field. Such systems open up the prospect of using QDs to probe and control the mechanical state of a NW, or conversely of making a quantum nondemolition readout of a QD state through a position measurement.



KEYWORDS: Hybrid system, quantum dot, nanowire, self-assembly, strain, opto-mechanics

E xperiments on micro- and nanomechanical oscillators are now addressing what were once purely theoretical questions: the initialization, control, and readout of the quantum state of a mechanical oscillator. Researchers are able both to initialize the fundamental vibrational mode of a mechanical resonator into its ground state^{1,2} and even to produce nonclassical coherent states of motion.³ The prospects are bright for exploiting these achievements to produce mechanical sensors whose sensitivity is limited only by quantum effects or to use a mechanical state to encode quantum information. The ability to initialize and observe the quantization of mechanical motion is particularly noteworthy not only from a fundamental point of view but also because mechanical oscillators are excellent transducers. By functionalizing a resonator with an electrode, magnet, or mirror, mechanical motion can be transformed into the modulation of electric, magnetic, or optical fields.⁴ The ease of this process has inspired proposals to use mechanical resonators as quantum buses, mediating interactions between different quantum systems.⁵⁻⁸ Furthermore, such couplings have now been demonstrated in a variety of quantum systems including optical⁹ and microwave¹⁰ cavities, superconducting devices,¹ laser-cooled atoms,¹² quantum dots,¹³ and nitrogen vacancy centers in diamond.^{14–16} In most cases, however, the functionalization of the mechanical oscillator with a coupling element competes with the requirement of a small resonator mass, necessary for achieving a high coupling strength.⁴ Moreover, the functionalization process often adds additional paths of dissipation and decoherence, reducing the lifetime of the coupled quantum system, or "hybrid" system.

In this Letter, we report on the coupling of a nanomechanical oscillator with controllable quantum states in which both the coupling interaction and the quantum states themselves (here, optically addressable quantum dots (QDs)) are intrinsic to the oscillator's structure. Not only is this coupling unusually strong, but its "built-in" nature produces a hybrid system whose inherent coherence is unspoiled by external functionalization and whose fabrication is simpler than top-down techniques. The specific nanoresonator that we study is a bottom-up GaAs/ AlGaAs core-shell nanowire (NW) containing optically active quantum dots.¹⁷ These QDs have been shown to emit narrow optical line width (down to 29 μ eV) single photons with high brightness (count rates in the MHz range).¹⁷ Here we show that their energy levels are coupled to the mechanical vibrations of the NW through intrinsic material strain. We demonstrate that mechanical motion allows a reversible tuning of the QD optical frequency with no measurable influence on its photoluminescence intensity.

Our quantum-dot-in-nanowire structures are fully selfassembled by molecular beam epitaxy (MBE). As shown schematically in Figure 1a, there is strong evidence that the QDs form at the apex of the GaAs/AlGaAs interface, in Al-poor regions embedded in the Al-rich corners of the NW hexagonal cross-section.^{17,18} Note, however, that Weiß et al. offer an alternative interpretation, arguing that QD-like emission centers observed in similar core—shell NWs arise from

Received:
 April 16, 2014

 Revised:
 June 25, 2014

 Published:
 July 7, 2014



Figure 1. Experimental setup. (a) Sketch of the NW cross-section, showing the composition of the core–shell structure and the close proximity of the QDs to the surface of the NW. The purple regions are rich in Al content and surround an Al-poor region (yellow), defining a QD.^{17,18} (b) Finite element model of the displacement-dependent strain in the NW. The color scale is proportional to the ε_{zz} component of the strain tensor ε , computed for the prominent flexural vibration along \hat{x} . (c) Schematic diagram of the experimental setup.

randomly distributed alloy fluctuations or defects within the AlGaAs shell.¹⁹ In either case, by controlling the overall diameter of core and shell during growth, it is possible to position the QDs within a few nanometers of the NW surface. This proximity to the surface allows for the optimal coupling of the QDs to the strain in the NW (Figure 1b). Despite their position near the surface, these QDs retain their high optical quality, making them ideal for sensing applications. The NWs studied here have a predominantly zinc-blende crystalline structure and display a regular hexagonal cross-section. The synthesis starts with a 290 nm thick NW core, grown along $[1\overline{1}1]$ on a Si substrate by the Ga-assisted method detailed in refs 20 and 21. Once the NWs are about 25 μ m long, the axial growth is stopped by temporarily blocking the Ga flux and reducing the substrate temperature from 630 down to 465 °C. Then a 50 nm thick Al_{0.51}Ga_{0.49}As shell capped by a 5 nm GaAs layer is grown as detailed in ref 22.

In order to study the opto-mechanical coupling, individual NWs are detached from their growth substrate with micromanipulators and glued (using an ultraviolet curing adhesive) in a cantilever configuration to the edge of a Si chip, which has been patterned with lithographically defined alignment markers (Ti/Au, 10/30 nm thick). The suspended length of the NWs typically amounts to 20 μ m. The chip is then rigidly fixed to a piezoelectric transducer (PZT), which is used to excite mechanical oscillations of the NW, as shown schematically in Figure 1c. The chip and PZT are mounted to a threedimensional positioning stage which has nanometer precision and stability (Attocube AG), in a low-pressure ⁴He chamber (*p* = 0.35 mbar) at the bottom of a ⁴He cryostat (T = 4.2 K). The positioning stage allows precise alignment of individual QDs within each NW with the 400 nm collection spot of a confocal optical microscope²³ with high numerical aperture (NA = 0.82). As shown schematically in Figure 1c, the microscope consists of a low-power, nonresonant HeNe excitation laser at 632.8 nm, a camera for imaging the sample, and a highresolution spectrometer for analyzing the emitted photoluminescence (PL). The mechanical oscillation of each NW is

detected via laser interferometry.²⁴ Eighty microwatts of laser light from a wavelength-tunable, highly coherent 780 nm laser diode are focused onto the NW free end and the reflected light is collected by a fast photodetector. A low-finesse Fabry–Pérot cavity, with a length of 118 ± 5 cm forms between the NW and a low-reflective window at the entrance of the ⁴He chamber, as confirmed by a measurement of its free spectral range. Measurements of the NW displacement by the interferometer are calibrated by an accurate determination of the laser wavelength (for more details, see Supporting Information).

Using the PZT, we excite the fundamental mechanical mode of a NW and detect the resulting oscillations with the interferometer. Figure 2a shows the spectral response of the free-end displacement x of the NW. A main resonance and a smaller peak at lower frequency are clearly observable, separated by 350 Hz. The asymmetric clamping of the NW to the Si chip, realized by gluing the NW with one hexagonal facet in contact with the Si surface (see Figure 1c), splits the fundamental mode into a doublet of flexural modes, oriented either perpendicular or parallel to the Si surface. This interpretation is confirmed by a finite element model (FEM) of the experimental system (see Supporting Information). The mode oscillating perpendicular to the surface is preferentially driven by the PZT, because its oscillation direction coincides with the axis along which the PZT moves. This mode is also more easily detected by the interferometer, since its direction of oscillation coincides with the interferometer optical axis. For these reasons, we interpret the main resonance in Figure 2a as corresponding to the perpendicular mode. The asymmetry visible in this resonance is due to the onset of a weak mechanical nonlinearity of the NW.^{25,26} When excited in the linear regime, each of these mechanical resonances can be modeled as a driven, dissipative, harmonic oscillator.²⁷ Fitting the NW response using this model, we extract for the perpendicular mode a resonant frequency $\Omega_0/(2\pi) = 795.4$ kHz and a mechanical quality factor Q_{\perp} = 5800 and for the parallel mode $Q_{\parallel} = 7600$. Furthermore, by driving the main resonance as a function of the excitation amplitude V_{PZT} , we



Figure 2. NW mechanical characterization. (a) Spectrum of the NW free-end oscillation amplitude x_{osc} corresponding to its lowest order flexural vibrations at T = 4.2 K, driven by the PZT at $V_{PZT} = 40$ mV_{pk}. The red line is a model fit (see main text), highlighting two resonances split by 350 Hz, corresponding to polarized, nondegenerate vibrational modes. The green curve represents the vibration parallel to the NW substrate, while the blue curve represents the perpendicular one (both are shifted for clarity). The mechanical quality factors of the two modes, extracted from the fit, are $Q_{\parallel} = 7600$ and $Q_{\perp} = 5800$. (b) NW free-end oscillation amplitude x_{osc} as a function of the amplitude of the PZT excitation voltage V_{PZT} . The error bars correspond to the peak-to-peak amplitude of the interferometric noise. The red line is a linear fit, from which we extract the conversion factor $\partial x/\partial V_{PZT} = 0.53 \pm 0.01$ nm/mV.

explore the linear regime of the NW's free-end displacement, as shown in Figure 2b. With a linear fit, we extract a conversion factor, $\partial x / \partial V_{PZT} = 0.53 \pm 0.01 \text{ nm/mV}$, between the PZT drive amplitude and the amplitude of the free-end displacement.

We study the opto-mechanical coupling by collecting PL from individual QDs within a single NW. QDs in proximity of the clamped end of the NW have the largest energy modulation, because the oscillation-induced material strain is highest in this area (Figure 1b). Using the scanning confocal microscope, a number of suitable QDs are identified near the clamped NW end, having bright, narrow, and spectrally isolated exciton emission lines. Figure 3 shows a spatial map of the PL at 1.867 eV (664 nm) under nonresonant laser excitation of the sample. The plot also includes a weak component of reflected light at the filtered energy, which reveals the position of the NW and the Si substrate with its alignment markers. The map highlights a conveniently located QD, which we label QD 1, whose PL spectral signature includes an exciton emission peak, shown in the inset. In the next step, the laser beam is maintained in alignment with QD 1's position and its PL spectrum is recorded as a function of the PZT excitation frequency $\Omega/(2\pi)$, while holding the amplitude $V_{\rm PZT}$ constant. As shown in Figure 4a, several emission peaks are detected within the same laser detection spot. As Ω is swept through the NW resonance Ω_0 , the exciton emission peaks are broadened and deformed as a consequence of the time-integrated sinusoidal motion of the NW.13 The envelope of the PL



Figure 3. Spectrally filtered scanning confocal micrograph. As a function of the excitation laser position, we plot the light intensity detected from the sample (logarithmic color scale), spectrally filtered at the peak PL energy $E_{\rm ex}^0 = 1.867$ eV, corresponding to exciton emission of QD 1. The inset shows the corresponding PL spectrum (white dots), together with a Lorentzian fit (red line). The line width (fwhm) is 140 μ eV.



Figure 4. Effect of the NW excitation on the QD photoluminescence. PL spectra of some neighboring QDs (labeled QD 1 and QD 2) acquired while sweeping (a) the frequency of the PZT excitation, with $V_{\rm PZT} = 1 \, V_{\rm pkr}$ and (b) the amplitude of the excitation with the frequency set to the resonance of the NW's perpendicular flexural oscillation ($\Omega = \Omega_0 = 2\pi \times 795.4 \, \text{kHz}$).

spectra as a function of Ω resembles the NW displacement spectrum shown in Figure 2a. In particular, the low-frequency shoulder of the broadened envelope corresponds to the oscillation mode parallel to the substrate surface.

We explore the range of the exciton energy modulation by recording PL spectra as a function of the excitation amplitude V_{PZT} , while driving the NW on resonance with the dominant perpendicular mode ($\Omega = \Omega_0$). As shown in Figure 4b, each spectral line exhibits a different broadening, as a consequence of

Nano Letters

its specific sensitivity to the local strain. For high excitation voltages, we observe an asymmetric energy broadening due to the different response of the QD band structure under compressive or tensile stress in the NW.^{28,29} Note that a further increase of the excitation amplitude leads to a saturation of the peak-to-peak exciton modulation width just beyond 14 meV. It is currently not known whether this modulation is limited merely by how hard we are able to drive the NW motion, or whether a more fundamental saturation eventually limits the range.

While the mechanical motion of the NW in this experiment is best described in classical terms, individual PL peaks from an embedded QD can be approximated as resulting from a quantum two-level system with an exciton transition energy $E_{ex}(x)$ between ground and excited states $|g\rangle$ and $|e\rangle$.¹⁷ The coupling between the NW motion and the QD can then be introduced as a shift in the exciton energy that depends on the displacement x of the NW's free end. Considering only the prominent perpendicular flexural vibration and neglecting nonlinear terms in x (as in ref 4), the time-dependent Hamiltonian of our hybrid system can be written as

$$\hat{H}(t) = \frac{1}{2}m\dot{x}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}m\Omega_{0}^{2}x^{2} + E_{\text{ex}}^{0}\frac{\hat{\sigma}_{z}}{2} + \frac{\partial E_{\text{ex}}}{\partial x}\bigg|_{x=0}x\frac{\hat{\sigma}_{z}}{2}$$
(1)

where the first two terms describe the mechanical energy of the unperturbed NW, the third term describes the emission energy of the unperturbed QD, and the last one describes the optomechanical interaction. In the equation, *m* is the NW motional mass, E_{ex}^0 is the transition energy of a QD exciton for the NW at its rest position, $\hat{\sigma}_z = |e\rangle \langle e| - |g\rangle \langle g|$ is the Pauli operator of the two-level system, and $(\partial E_{ex}/\partial x)|_{x=0}$ is the opto-mechanical coupling parameter at the NW rest position. The NW motion produces a time-varying deformation of the NW's crystalline structure, in turn altering the energy levels of the embedded QD via a deformation potential, and resulting in a time-varying shift in the QD exciton emission energies. The sign and magnitude of this shift under compressive or tensile strain depend on the localization of the QD within the NW cross section and possibly on intrinsic properties of each QD.³⁰

To evaluate the strength of the opto-mechanical coupling, we extract the PL profiles of the exciton lines for various values of the drive $V_{\rm PZT}$, e.g. Figure 5a. The profiles are then fit with a Lorentzian whose central energy $E_{\rm ex}^0$ is modulated by a sinusoid of amplitude $\delta E_{\rm ex}$.¹⁴ Using our interferometer measurements (Figure 2b), we then relate the displacement amplitude $x_{\rm osc}$ of the NW free end with the amplitude $\delta E_{\rm ex}$. The result, displayed in Figure 5b for QD 2 (which resides in the same optical spot as QD 1), shows that in the linear regime of mechanical excitation, $\delta E_{\rm ex}$ is also linear in $x_{\rm osc}$. A fit to this data provides an opto-mechanical coupling parameter $(\partial E_{\rm ex}/\partial x)|_{x=0} = 9.9 \pm 0.7 \mu eV/nm$, which is one of the largest observed in our measurements.

The energy shift of a QD exciton can be modeled by considering the strain-dependent band structure of a semiconductor.^{31,32} The deformation potentials and Poisson ratio have been recently measured in an experiment on zinc-blende GaAs/AlGaAs core/shell NWs grown along $\langle 111 \rangle$.²⁹ These parameters and a FEM of the NW strain tensor at the position of the QD in question have been used to estimate the displacement-dependent energy shift. The result of 13 ± 2 $\mu eV/nm$ is in agreement with our measurement and corroborates the strain-dependence of the band structure as Letter



Figure 5. Strength of the opto-mechanical coupling. (a) PL spectrum of QD 1 (black dots) under NW excitation on resonance with the perpendicular flexural mode ($\Omega = \Omega_0$, $V_{PZT} = 250 \text{ mV}_{pk}$). The red line is a model fit (see main text), from which the exciton energy shift amplitude δE_{ex} is extracted. (b) δE_{ex} of QD 2 versus the NW free-end displacement amplitude x_{osc} . The red line is a linear fit from which we extract the opto-mechanical coupling parameter $(\partial E_{\text{ex}}/\partial x)|_{x=0} = 9.9 \pm 0.7 \ \mu\text{eV/nm}$. The error bars on x_{osc} are the same as mentioned in Figure 2b; those on δE_{ex} are the standard deviations extracted from the fits of the mechanically excited PL spectra, as in (a).

the dominant coupling mechanism (see Supporting Information).

We study the time evolution of the QD exciton energy shift by acquiring stroboscopic PL spectra.^{13,19} Two synchronized and isochronous signals drive the NW on resonance through the PZT and, using an acousto-optic modulator (AOM), chop the laser excitation with a 5% duty-cycle. The QDs are therefore excited only for 5% of the mechanical oscillation period of the NW. By recording PL spectra as a function of the phase between the two modulation signals, as shown in Figure 6, we explore the temporal evolution of the QD exciton lines during a NW oscillation period. This experiment reveals exciton lines, such as those of QD 1 and QD 2 in Figure 6, that respond to the mechanical oscillation of the NW with opposite shifts in emission energy. The shifts in energy induced by strain are a consequence of the change in the fundamental bands resulting from the compression or extension of the lattice constant. Therefore, for a given strain, exciton transitions from the same QD should show energy shifts of the same sign and similar magnitude. Conversely, emission lines showing drastically different shift amplitudes or even shifts with different signs correspond to QDs located at different positions within the NW cross-section. In particular, two identical QDs within the same optical collection spot, located on opposite sides of the NW neutral axis, result in opposing strains produced for the same cantilever free-end displacement. On the other hand, differences in the extension and composition of each QD may also account for the varying responses to NW motion. Alternatively, randomly distributed QDs in the shell of the NW,



Figure 6. Time-resolved PL evolution. Stroboscopic PL spectra of several neighboring QDs as a function of the phase (left axis) and the time delay (right axis) between the excitation-laser modulation and the PZT drive ($\Omega = \Omega_0$, $V_{PZT} = 250 \text{ mV}_{pk}$). The dashed circle highlights two exciton spectral lines dynamically tuned to the same energy.

as postulated by Weiß et al., may also produce such variations.¹⁹ In any case, when two spatially and spectrally close QD excitons display strong opto-mechanical couplings of opposite sign, their energies may become degenerate for a particular time in the oscillation period (or equivalently for a particular position of the NW free end), as for the spectral lines outlined by the dashed circle in Figure 6. In the future, exploiting this mechanically mediated tuning may allow us to couple two nearby QDs within a single NW. In addition, the sinusoidal time evolution of the PL spectral lines emerging from the measurement provides a confirmation of the mechanical origin of the QD emission broadening. Note that the modulation of the QD energy has no measurable influence on the corresponding PL intensity.

In order to compare our results with other hybrid quantum systems,^{4,13} the opto-mechanical interaction described in eq 1 can also be expressed in terms of the coupling rate

$$\lambda \equiv \frac{1}{2\hbar} \frac{\partial E_{\text{ex}}}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x=0} x_{\text{ZPF}}$$
(2)

which is the exciton frequency shift per vibrational quantum. Here, $x_{\text{ZPF}} = (\hbar/(2m\Omega_0))^{1/2}$ is the NW's zero-point motion at its free end and \hbar is the reduced Planck's constant. Using the FEM of the NW, we calculate its motional mass $m = (3.5 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-15}$ kg, where the error is dominated by the measurement imprecision of the NW thickness. This result, combined with knowledge of Ω_0 , allows us to calculate $x_{\text{ZPF}} = (5.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-14}$ m. Therefore, for QD 2 the coupling rate $\lambda/(2\pi) = 66 \pm 12$ kHz. This opto-mechanical coupling rate is similar to that recently measured by Yeo et al.¹³ for etched nanopillars containing self-assembled QDs, where $\lambda/(2\pi) = 230 \pm 50$ kHz (note that in ref 13 $g_0 = 2\lambda$).

Both here and in Yeo et al., the ratio λ/Ω_0 is not far from unity, which makes these kinds of systems particularly promising for the quantum nondemolition (QND) readout of a QD state through a precise measurement of the NW displacement.¹³ In particular, using eqs 1 and 2, we find that the displacement between the rest positions of the NW free end in the QD states $|g\rangle$ and $|e\rangle$ is $4x_{\text{ZPF}}\lambda/\Omega_0$. In order to be observable, this displacement must be larger than x_{ZPF} ; in fact, at a finite temperature *T*, the displacement must be larger than the NW's thermal fluctuations x_{th} . This implies that a determination of the QD state can be made through a displacement measurement if $\lambda/\Omega_0 > (1 + 2N)^{1/2}/4$, where *N* is the average phonon occupation number of the NW's fundamental mode. In the high temperature limit $k_{\rm B}T \gg \hbar\Omega_0$, the requirement is that $\lambda/\Omega_0 > (k_{\rm B}T/(8\hbar\Omega_0))^{1/2}$, where $k_{\rm B}$ is the Boltzmann constant. However, for our experimental parameters the ratio λ/Ω_0 is still 10³ times too small for such effects to be observed.

Auffèves and Richard³³ have recently proposed an alternative approach to such a nondemolition measurement, which takes advantage of the high Q of the NW oscillator. In their scheme, the QD is optically excited by a continuous-wave laser modulated at the NW resonance frequency. Through constructive interference, this process builds up a large coherent mechanical excitation of the NW. On resonance with a QD transition, the amplitude of the excitation is roughly Q times larger than the displacement difference calculated in the aforementioned static case. For our experimental parameters, this amplitude would be 6 times larger than the NW thermal fluctuations, making it detectable by a highsensitivity interferometer.34 It should be noted that a QND measurement also requires the time necessary to build up such a coherent phonon field (T_r) to be smaller than the quantum transition lifetime (τ_{ex}), which is not the case here ($T_r \approx 18$ ms, while $\tau_{\rm ex} \approx 1$ ns) nor in the experiment of Yeo et al.¹³ The use of a longer-lived QD state such as a dark exciton $(1 \ \mu s^{35})$ or a spin state (0.5 s^{36}) could bring the system closer to the required lifetime. In addition, given a detection of the NW displacement with a large enough signal-to-noise ratio, T_r could be reduced using feedback damping, which can modify a mechanical oscillator's response time without affecting its intrinsic properties.37

We note that prospects of quantum control over a mechanical resonator, or proposals for using a mechanical resonator as a transducer for quantum information, require the hybrid interaction to be large compared to the rates at which the coupled systems decohere into their local environments.^{9,38} Some proposals require the condition of "large coopera-tivity": $^{39,40} \lambda/(\gamma_{ex}\Gamma_{th})^{1/2} > 1$, where γ_{ex} is the decoherence rate of the quantum transition, in our case associated with a QD exciton (>1 GHz¹⁷) and $\Gamma_{\rm th} = k_{\rm B}T/(\hbar Q)$ is the mechanical heating rate. Using the values from this experiment, the cooperativity is 10^{-3} . Nevertheless, the QD-in-NW system is particularly promising given that λ could be improved by a factor 2 (or bigger) for second order (or higher) flexural modes of the NW (see Supporting Information). Assuming that the experiment can be carried out in a dilution refrigerator at T =10 mK and that the mechanical Q can be improved to a few times 10⁶ (perhaps by surface treatment, as was demonstrated in Si cantilevers with similar aspect ratios⁴¹), the large cooperativity limit would then become accessible.

In summary, we demonstrate an "as-grown" opto-mechanical system produced entirely by bottom-up self-assembly. The structure's intrinsic properties couple multiple QDs to the same NW mechanical oscillator. This interaction enables the tuning of QD energies over a broad range exceeding 14 meV, opening the way for mechanically induced coupling between different QDs in the NW. The sensitivity of the QDs in our system to the resonant vibration of the NW could also be used to reveal variations in the mechanical resonance frequency due to the application of electrical or magnetic forces or to a change of the mass of the NW. This fact opens the perspective of using our QD-in-NW system as an integrated force probe or as a nanomechanical mass sensor (see Supporting Information). By measuring the QD PL, one could monitor the NW motion in a

Nano Letters

technically simpler way than optical interferometry $^{34,42-44}$ or other schemes.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The mechanical properties of the NW, the effect of strain on the QD-in-NW exciton energy, an analysis of the distribution of the exciton energy shifts, the interferometer calibration, and the displacement, force, and strain sensitivities of our apparatus are discussed in detail. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: martino.poggio@unibas.ch.

Author Contributions

M.Mo., Y.F., A.F.M., R.J.W., and M.P. conceived the experiment. E.R.A., M.H., and A.F.M. synthesized the NWs. G.W. and R.J.W. designed and set up the confocal scanning microscope. M.Mo., G.W., and M.Mu. performed the measurements under the supervision of M.P. and R.J.W. M.Mo. analyzed the data and performed the FEM simulations. M.Mo. and M.P. wrote the manuscript. All authors discussed the results and contributed to the manuscript.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Dr. Jean Teissier, Dr. Fei Xue, and Dr. Andreas V. Kuhlmann for fruitful discussion and Dr. Pengfei Wang, Benedikt E. Herzog, Dennis P. Weber, Andrea Mehlin, and Davide Cadeddu for technical support. We acknowledge support from the Canton Aargau, the Swiss NSF (Grant 200020-140478), the National Center of Competence in Research for Quantum Science and Technology (NCCR-QSIT), the D-A-CH program of the Swiss NSF (Grant 132506), the ERC Starting Grant UpCon, and the ERC Starting Grant NWScan (Grant 334762).

REFERENCES

(1) Teufel, J. D.; Donner, T.; Li, D.; Harlow, J. W.; Allman, M. S.; Cicak, K.; Sirois, A. J.; Whittaker, J. D.; Lehnert, K. W.; Simmonds, R. W. *Nature* **2011**, *475*, 359–363.

(2) Chan, J.; Alegre, T. P. M.; Safavi-Naeini, A. H.; Hill, J. T.; Krause, A.; Gröblacher, S.; Aspelmeyer, M.; Painter, O. *Nature* **2011**, 478, 89–92.

(3) O'Connell, A. D.; Hofheinz, M.; Ansmann, M.; Bialczak, R. C.; Lenander, M.; Lucero, E.; Neeley, M.; Sank, D.; Wang, H.; Weides, M.; Wenner, J.; Martinis, J. M.; Cleland, A. N. *Nature* **2010**, *464*, 697– 703.

(4) Treutlein, P.; Genes, C.; Hammerer, K.; Poggio, M.; Rabl, P. Hybrid mechanical systems, Eds. Aspelmeyer, M.; Kippenberg, T. J.; Marquardt, F. Cavity Optomechanics, Quantum Science and Technology, Springer: Berlin, Heidelberg, 2014.

(5) Rabl, P.; Kolkowitz, S. J.; Koppens, F. H. L.; Harris, J. G. E.; Zoller, P.; Lukin, M. D. *Nat. Phys.* **2010**, *6*, 602–608.

(6) Kolkowitz, S.; Bleszynski Jayich, A. C.; Unterreithmeier, Q. P.; Bennett, S. D.; Rabl, P.; Harris, J. G. E.; Lukin, M. D. *Science* **2012**, 335, 1603–1606.

(7) McGee, S. A.; Meiser, D.; Regal, C. A.; Lehnert, K. W.; Holland, M. J. *Phys. Rev. A* **2013**, *87*, 053818.

(8) Palomaki, T. A.; Harlow, J. W.; Teufel, J. D.; Simmonds, R. W.; Lehnert, K. W. *Nature* **2013**, 495, 210–214. Letter

T. J. Nature 2012, 482, 63–67. (10) Bochmann, J.; Vainsencher, A.; Awschalom, D. D.; Cleland, A.

N. Nat. Phys. 2013, 9, 712-716.

(11) Armour, A. D.; Blencowe, M. P.; Schwab, K. C. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2002, 88, 148301.

(12) Camerer, S.; Korppi, M.; Jöckel, A.; Hunger, D.; Hänsch, T. W.; Treutlein, P. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2011**, *107*, 223001.

(13) Yeo, I.; de Assis, P.-L.; Gloppe, A.; Dupont-Ferrier, E.; Verlot, P.; Malik, N. S.; Dupuy, E.; Claudon, J.; Gérard, J.-M.; Auffèves, A.; Nogues, G.; Seidelin, S.; Poizat, J.- P.; Arcizet, O.; Richard, M. Nat. Nanotechnol. **2014**, *9*, 106–110.

(14) Arcizet, O.; Jacques, V.; Siria, A.; Poncharal, P.; Vincent, P.; Seidelin, S. *Nat. Phys.* **2011**, *7*, 879–883.

(15) Teissier, J.; Barfuss, A.; Appel, P.; Neu, E.; Maletinsky, P. arXiv:1403.3405 2014 (accessed July 8, 2014).

(16) Ovartchaiyapong, P.; Lee, K. W.; Myers, B. A.; Bleszynski Jayich, A. C. arXiv:1403.4173 2014 (accessed July 8, 2014).

(17) Heiss, M.; et al. Nat. Mater. 2013, 12, 439-444.

(18) Fontana, Y.; Corfdir, P.; Van Hattem, B.; Russo-Averchi, E.; Heiss, M.; Sonderegger, S.; Magen, C.; Arbiol, J.; Phillips, R. T.; Fontcuberta i Morral, A. arXiv:1406.0163 2014 (accessed July 8, 2014).

(19) Weiß, M.; Kinzel, J. B.; Schülein, F. J. R.; Heigl, M.; Rudolph, D.; Morkötter, S.; Döblinger, M.; Bichler, M.; Abstreiter, G.; Finley, J. J.; Koblmüller, G.; Wixforth, A.; Krenner, H. J. *Nano Lett.* **2014**, *14*, 2256–2264.

(20) Uccelli, E.; Arbiol, J.; Magen, C.; Krogstrup, P.; Russo-Averchi, E.; Heiss, M.; Mugny, G.; Morier-Genoud, F.; Nygård, J.; Morante, J.

R.; Fontcuberta i Morral, A. Nano Lett. 2011, 11, 3827-3832.

(21) Russo-Averchi, E.; Heiss, M.; Michelet, L.; Krogstrup, P.; Nygård, J.; Magen, C.; Morante, J. R.; Uccelli, E.; Arbiol, J.; Fontcuberta i Morral, A. *Nanoscale* **2012**, *4*, 1486–1490.

(22) Heigoldt, M.; Arbiol, J.; Spirkoska, D.; Rebled, J. M.; Conesa-Boj, S.; Abstreiter, G.; Peiró, F.; Morante, J. R.; Fontcuberta i Morral, A. J. Mater. Chem. **2009**, *19*, 840.

(23) Högele, A.; Seidl, S.; Kroner, M.; Karrai, K.; Schulhauser, C.; Sqalli, O.; Scrimgeour, J.; Warburton, R. J. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **2008**, *79*, 023709.

(24) Bruland, K. J.; Garbini, J. L.; Dougherty, W. M.; Chao, S. H.; Jensen, S. E.; Sidles, J. A. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **1999**, *70*, 3542–3544.

(25) Nichol, J. M.; Hemesath, E. R.; Lauhon, L. J.; Budakian, R. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2009, 95, 123116.

(26) Braakman, F.; Cadeddu, D.; Tütüncüoglu, G.; Matteini, F.; Ruffer, D.; Fontcuberta i Morral, A.; Poggio, M. arXiv:1406.5961 2014 (accessed July 8, 2014).

(27) Montinaro, M.; Mehlin, A.; Solanki, H. S.; Peddibhotla, P.; Mack, S.; Awschalom, D. D.; Poggio, M. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2012, 101, 133104.

(28) Bryant, G. W.; Zielínski, M.; Malkova, N.; Sims, J.; Jaskólski, W.; Aizpurua, J. Phys. Rev. B **2011**, *84*, 235412.

(29) Signorello, G.; Karg, S.; Björk, M. T.; Gotsmann, B.; Riel, H. Nano Lett. 2013, 13, 917–924.

(30) Jöns, K. D.; Hafenbrak, R.; Singh, R.; Ding, F.; Plumhof, J. D.; Rastelli, A.; Schmidt, O. G.; Bester, G.; Michler, P. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2011**, *107*, 217402.

(31) Chandrasekhar, M.; Pollak, F. H. Phys. Rev. B 1977, 15, 2127–2144.

(32) Van de Walle, C. G. Phys. Rev. B 1989, 39, 1871-1883.

(33) Auffèves, A.; Richard, M. arXiv:1305.4252 2013 (accessed July 8, 2014).

(34) Nichol, J. M.; Hemesath, E. R.; Lauhon, L. J.; Budakian, R. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2008, 93, 193110.

(35) McFarlane, J.; Dalgarno, P. A.; Gerardot, B. D.; Hadfield, R. H.; Warburton, R. J.; Karrai, K.; Badolato, A.; Petroff, P. M. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2009**, *94*, 093113.

(36) Bar-Gill, N.; Pham, L. M.; Jarmola, A.; Budker, D.; Walsworth, R. L. *Nat. Commun.* **2013**, *4*, 1743.

Nano Letters

- (37) Poggio, M.; Degen, C. L.; Mamin, H. J.; Rugar, D. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2007, 99, 017201.
- (38) Gröblacher, S.; Hammerer, K.; Vanner, M. R.; Aspelmeyer, M. *Nature* **2009**, *460*, 724–727.
- (39) Clerk, A. A.; Marquardt, F.; Jacobs, K. New J. Phys. 2008, 10, 095010.
- (40) Hammerer, K.; Aspelmeyer, M.; Polzik, E. S.; Zoller, P. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2009, 102, 020501.
- (41) Rast, S.; Gysin, U.; Ruff, P.; Gerber, C.; Meyer, E.; Lee, D. W. Nanotechnology **2006**, *17*, S189.
- (42) Carr, D. W.; Craighead, H. G. J. Vac. Sci. Technol., B 1997, 15, 2760–2763.
- (43) Belov, M.; Quitoriano, N. J.; Sharma, S.; Hiebert, W. K.; Kamins, T. I.; Evoy, S. J. Appl. Phys. 2008, 103, 074304.
- (44) Favero, I.; Stapfner, S.; Hunger, D.; Paulitschke, P.; Reichel, J.; Lorenz, H.; Weig, E. M.; Karrai, K. *Opt. Expr.* **2009**, *17*, 12813–12820.
- (45) Treacy, M. M. J.; Ebbesen, T. W.; Gibson, J. M. Nature 1996, 381, 678–680.
- (46) Montague, J. R.; Dalberth, M.; Gray, J. M.; Seghete, D.; Bertness, K. A.; George, S. M.; Bright, V. M.; Rogers, C. T.; Sanford, N. A. Sens. Actuators, A 2011, 165, 59–65.
- (47) Hoch, S. W.; Montague, J. R.; Bright, V. M.; Rogers, C. T.; Bertness, K. A.; Teufel, J. D.; Lehnert, K. W. Appl. Phys. Lett. **2011**, 99, 053101.